3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 ISAIHA DUCKKET, Case No.: 3:20-cv-00719-RCJ-WGC 9 Petitioner **ORDER** 10 ٧. WARDEN BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al., 11 12 Respondents 13 Petitioner Isaiha Duckket has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 14 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and has now paid the filing fee (ECF Nos. 1-1, 6). The court has 15 reviewed the petition pursuant to Habeas Rule 4 and directs that it be served on 16 respondents. 17 A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which 18 petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be 19 forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C. 20 §2254(b) (successive petitions). If petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his 21 petition, he should notify the court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a 22 motion to amend his petition to add the claim. 23

12

11

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk electronically SERVE the amended petition (ECF No. 4) on respondents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk add Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, as counsel for respondents and provide respondents an electronic copy of all items previously filed in this case by regenerating the Notice of Electronic Filing to the office of the AG only.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents file a response to the petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within **90 days** of service of the petition, with any requests for relief by petitioner by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local rules. Any response filed is to comply with the remaining provisions below, which are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 5.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents 13 in this case be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In other 14 words, the court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either 15 in seriatum fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the 16 answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents should not file a response in this case that consolidates 18 their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 19||28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they will do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they will specifically 22 direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural

defenses, including exhaustion, should be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner has 45 days from service of the answer, motion to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any other 8 requests for relief by respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local rules.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by either petitioner or respondents be filed with a separate index of exhibits 12 dentifying the exhibits by number. The parties will identify filed CM/ECF attachments 13 by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, at this time, the parties send courtesy copies of 15 any responsive pleading or motion and all INDICES OF EXHIBITS ONLY to the 16 Reno Division of this court. Courtesy copies shall be mailed to the Clerk of Court, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, NV, 89501, and directed to the attention of "Staff Attorney" on the 18 outside of the mailing address label. No further courtesy copies are required unless and until requested by the court.

20

22

23

Dated: April 29, 2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE